| SECRE Approved For Rele \$6006/12/08: CONE   | <b>የውጮ78<b>89</b>4770A00<b>2</b>50009</b>                                       | 00205 UNCLASSIFIED        |  |  |  |
|--|---|---------------------------|--|--|--|
|  |   | TASK NO.                  |  |  |  |
| CONTRACT INSPECT AN REPORT   |   | 257                       |  |  |  |
| TA-  | D.T.  | 25X                       |  |  |  |
| TO:  | DATE SEASON AND SOCIETY   | ,                         |  |  |  |
| CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETTLEMENT   | CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION & SETPLEMENT INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state) |                           |  |  |  |
| BRANCH/PD/OL   | a a state)  |                           |  |  |  |
|  | ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE   |                           |  |  |  |
|  | 30 January 1967   |                           |  |  |  |
| NAME OF CONTRACTOR   |   |                           |  |  |  |
|  |   | 25 <b>X</b> 1             |  |  |  |
|  |   | 20/1                      |  |  |  |
| TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE   |   |                           |  |  |  |
| Prototype Development Wide Print S   | two falter on ow  |                           |  |  |  |
| THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE  | THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABL   | Y REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED |  |  |  |
| YES MA NO  | FUNDS X YES NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE REC-                                    |                           |  |  |  |
| 2000   | REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN,   |                           |  |  |  |
| PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 1000  | DITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.  |                           |  |  |  |
| PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - 1005  |   |                           |  |  |  |
| HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE   |   | IVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR  |  |  |  |
|  | details on reverse side.)   | "، ك" قا"                 |  |  |  |
| HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reve    |   | OD? YES X NO              |  |  |  |
|  |   |                           |  |  |  |
|  | IT I VES  |                           |  |  |  |
| IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT 🔀 YES 🔲 NO IF YES, CHECK TYPE  | NOTE:<br>USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMEN  | тs.                       |  |  |  |
| COST AWARD PERFORMANCE DELIVERY  | FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN 1   | NCENTIVE EVALUATION.      |  |  |  |
| OVERALL PERFORMA   | NCE OF CONTRACTOR   |                           |  |  |  |
| <u> </u>   |   | ORV                       |  |  |  |
| TO SOLOTANDINO   | 02  |                           |  |  |  |
| 2. EXCELLENT 5. X AVERAGE  |   |                           |  |  |  |
| 3. VERY GOOD 6. MINIMUM ACC  | eptable Declass Review I  | DYINGAJ                   |  |  |  |
| IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATI<br>REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.                             | SFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABL   | E INDICATE                |  |  |  |
|  |   |                           |  |  |  |
| RECOMMEN   | DED ACTION .  |                           |  |  |  |
| X CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED   | WITHHOLD PAYMENT PEND SATISFACTORY PERFORMAN                                    |                           |  |  |  |
|  | 341101401011111211101111111   |                           |  |  |  |
|  |   |                           |  |  |  |
| TERMINATE  | OTHER (Specify)   |                           |  |  |  |
| IF TERMINATION IS RECOMMENDED OR IF THIS IS A  |   |                           |  |  |  |
| FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT A RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOL |   | HE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN     |  |  |  |
|  | <del></del>   |                           |  |  |  |
| ITEM REC'D DOES NOT  | ITEM  | REC'D DOES NOT APPLY      |  |  |  |
|  | ·   | AFFLY                     |  |  |  |
| PROTOTYPES  DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS  | MANUALS<br>FINAL REPORT   |                           |  |  |  |
| PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER  | SPECIAL TOOLING   |                           |  |  |  |
| END ITEMS  | OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY   |                           |  |  |  |
| DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR   |   |                           |  |  |  |
| late October   | 1967  |                           |  |  |  |
| SIGNATURE OF INSPECTOR   | DIVISION  |                           |  |  |  |
| <del></del> i  | ping Inc  | 25X                       |  |  |  |
|  | TDS/DS  | 20/                       |  |  |  |
| INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION  | SIGNATURE OF APPROVER   |                           |  |  |  |
|  |   | 25X                       |  |  |  |
| FORM USE   | <u> </u>  |                           |  |  |  |
| 8-66 1897 PREVIOUS UNCLASSIFIED  | M CONFIDENTIAL  | SECRET (12-36)            |  |  |  |

| SECRE PPROVED For Release 2006/12 ( CONFIDENT/A 04770 A002500090020- UNCLASSIFIED |           |       |  |  |
|---|-----------|-------|--|--|
| NARRATIVE REPORT  | X INTERIM | FINAL |  |  |

The Equipment Performance Staff performed an acceptance test during the first part of November. I have not yet received the report on the acceptance test and therefore cannot recommend acceptance at this time. However, I have been verbally informed that the equipment did not perform satisfactorily. I anticipate eventual acceptance of the equipment and then modifications in-house to meet our requirements since this appears the fastest and least expensive route at this time. The contractor while answering my past complaints concerning the eq equipment, did not answer them promptly. Shortly after delivery, it became obvious that the equipment had several shortcomings. I suggested that they take the machine back and put it in good operating order. However, they preferred to make a series of minor modifications on the equipment at NPIC. None of the modifications solved the problem but merely transferred the deficiencies to another part of the machine.

| 7            | _          |   |                   |        |
|--------------|------------|---|-------------------|--------|
| UNCLASSIFIED | Pologo 200 | <b>CONFIDENTIAL</b> 06/12/06: CIA-RDP78B047 | 770 \ 00250000002 | SECRET |